Published: 2025-07-07

Audit Quality Determinants in Highly Regulated Financial Industries

Landon Becker, Bianca Rhodes, Ruben Warner

Abstract

This research investigates the determinants of audit quality within highly regulated financial industries, moving beyond traditional compliance-centric models to propose a novel, dynamic framework. While existing literature predominantly focuses on auditor independence, technical competence, and regulatory adherence, this study introduces the concept of 'Regulatory Intelligence Quotient' (RIQ) as a critical, yet previously unquantified, determinant. RIQ encompasses an audit firm's systemic capacity to not only interpret complex, evolving regulations but to anticipate regulatory shifts and integrate this foresight into audit planning and execution. The methodology employs a mixed-methods approach, combining a longitudinal analysis of audit outcomes in the banking, insurance, and securities sectors from 2015 to 2023 with qualitative insights derived from in-depth interviews with Chief Audit Executives, regulators, and fintech innovators. We develop and validate a multi-dimensional RIQ index, measuring components such as predictive regulatory analytics adoption, cross-jurisdictional rule synthesis capability, and proactive engagement with regulatory sandboxes. Our results reveal a strong, statistically significant positive correlation between an audit firm's RIQ score and key quality proxies, including audit report lag reduction, lower incidence of post-audit regulatory findings, and enhanced predictive value of audit opinions for financial stability. Crucially, the study finds that technological integration—specifically the use of AI for continuous regulatory monitoring and blockchain for immutable audit trail verification—acts as a powerful moderator, amplifying the effect of traditional determinants like experience and size. The paper concludes that in hyper-regulated environments, audit quality is increasingly defined by adaptive, anticipatory capabilities rather than static compliance, necessitating a paradigm shift in how audit firms are evaluated and how audit quality is conceptualized and assured.

Keywords: audit quality, regulatory intelligence, financial regulation, AI in auditing, compliance, governance

1 Introduction

The pursuit of high-quality audits in financial industries such as banking, insurance, and securities trading represents a cornerstone of market integrity and systemic stability. These industries operate under dense, multi-layered regulatory regimes designed to mitigate risk, protect consumers, and prevent financial crises. Traditional frameworks for understanding audit quality in this context have largely revolved around established determinants: auditor independence, professional skepticism, technical expertise in financial instruments and accounting standards, and the depth of industry-specific experience. While these factors remain undeniably important, their explanatory power may be diminishing in the face of a regulatory environment characterized by unprecedented velocity, complexity, and interconnectivity. New regulations emerge rapidly in response to technological innovation, geopolitical events, and economic shocks, creating a landscape where static knowledge quickly becomes obsolete.

This paper posits that a fundamental gap exists in the current literature: the lack of a formalized construct for an audit firm's dynamic capability to navigate this evolving regulatory maze. We argue that audit quality in highly regulated sectors is increasingly less about checking compliance against a known set of rules and more about intelligently engaging with a living, breathing regulatory ecosystem. This engagement requires the capacity for anticipation, synthesis, and adaptive integration. Consequently, this research introduces and operationalizes the novel concept of the Regulatory Intelligence Quotient (RIQ). RIQ is defined as the integrated organizational capability of an audit firm to perceive, interpret, forecast, and strategically respond to changes in the regulatory landscape, thereby enhancing the relevance, timeliness, and preventative power of the audit process.

The primary research question addressed is: To what extent does Regulatory Intelligence Quotient (RIQ), as a distinct organizational capability, determine audit quality outcomes in highly regulated financial industries, and how does technological adoption moderate the relationship between traditional determinants and these outcomes? By answering this question, the study aims to move the discourse from a reactive, compliance-

based model of audit quality to a proactive, intelligence-driven one. The findings have significant implications for audit firms seeking to differentiate their services, for regulators aiming to enhance oversight effectiveness, and for stakeholders relying on audit assurances in complex financial markets.

2 Methodology

To investigate the proposed research question, a sequential exploratory mixed-methods design was employed. This approach was deemed essential to first develop the nuanced dimensions of the RIQ construct qualitatively and then to quantitatively test its relationship with audit quality proxies across a large sample.

The qualitative phase involved semi-structured interviews with 42 purposively selected experts across North America and Europe. The participant pool comprised 15 Chief Audit Executives from global audit firms specializing in financial services, 12 senior regulators from bodies such as the Federal Reserve, the Prudential Regulation Authority, and the European Securities and Markets Authority, 10 fintech founders developing regulatory technology (RegTech) solutions, and 5 academics specializing in financial regulation and audit. Interviews, conducted virtually and lasting approximately 60 minutes each, explored perceptions of how leading audit firms stay ahead of regulatory curves, the role of technology, and the characteristics that distinguish high-quality audits in dynamic regulatory environments. Thematic analysis of interview transcripts was used to identify the core components of RIQ, which were synthesized into a preliminary multi-item index.

The quantitative phase constructed a panel dataset covering the period 2015–2023. The sample included 200 audit engagements per year for clients in the banking (SIC 6000-6099), insurance (SIC 6300-6411), and securities (SIC 6200-6299) industries, yielding 1,800 engagement-year observations. Data was sourced from public audit reports, regulatory enforcement databases, firm websites, and proprietary technology adoption surveys. The dependent variables, representing audit quality, were: (1) Audit Report Lag (ARL), the number of days between fiscal year-end and audit report date; (2) Post-

Audit Regulatory Findings (PARF), a binary variable indicating whether a regulatory review within 18 months of the audit report identified material issues the audit failed to highlight; and (3) Financial Distress Predictive Value (FDPV), measured by the accuracy of the audit opinion in predicting client financial distress or significant regulatory intervention within two years.

The key independent variable was the RIQ Score, calculated annually for each audit firm in the sample based on the validated index. The index comprised four weighted dimensions: Predictive Analytics Utilization (use of AI/ML to scan regulatory consultations and legislative drafts), Cross-Jurisdictional Synthesis (measured by the diversity of regulatory licenses held and global regulatory news monitoring), Proactive Regulatory Engagement (frequency of formal commentary on proposed rules, participation in sandboxes), and Internal Knowledge Dynamism (investment in continuous regulatory training, structure of internal regulatory research teams). Control variables included traditional determinants: audit firm size (Big 4 indicator), industry specialization ratio, audit fee, client size, and client complexity. Moderating variables focused on technological integration, specifically an AI Adoption Score for regulatory tasks and a Blockchain Utilization indicator for audit evidence management.

Analysis was performed using panel regression models with fixed effects for year and industry, and robust standard errors clustered at the audit firm level. Moderation effects were tested using interaction terms between technological variables and traditional determinants.

3 Results

The thematic analysis from the qualitative phase robustly supported the conceptualization of RIQ as a distinct capability. Interviewees consistently described a divide between audit firms that "keep up" with regulation and those that "stay ahead." Characteristics of the latter included dedicated regulatory foresight teams, formal processes for translating regulatory trends into updated audit programs, and strategic partnerships with RegTech

firms. These insights directly informed the four dimensions of the final RIQ index.

Quantitative results provided strong empirical support for the central hypothesis. The regression analysis demonstrated that a one-standard-deviation increase in a firm's RIQ Score was associated with a statistically significant reduction in Audit Report Lag of approximately 4.7 days (p ¡ 0.01), holding all else constant. This suggests that higher regulatory intelligence leads to more efficient audit execution, likely due to fewer surprises and better-prepared audit teams. More critically, a higher RIQ Score was associated with a 31% lower odds of a Post-Audit Regulatory Finding (p ¡ 0.001). This indicates that audits conducted by firms with high RIQ are more effective at identifying and addressing areas of potential regulatory concern before they escalate into public enforcement actions.

Furthermore, the Financial Distress Predictive Value of audit opinions was significantly stronger for clients audited by high-RIQ firms. The model showed that going-concern opinions issued by these firms were 18% more accurate in predicting subsequent distress or major regulatory intervention (p ¡ 0.05). This finding underscores that regulatory intelligence contributes to a deeper, more holistic understanding of client risk.

The analysis of moderating effects revealed perhaps the most novel finding. While traditional determinants like firm size and specialization remained positively significant, their effect was substantially amplified by technological integration. For instance, the positive effect of industry specialization on reducing PARF was over twice as large for firms with a high AI Adoption Score compared to those with a low score. Similarly, the use of blockchain for evidence management strengthened the negative relationship between audit fees (a proxy for effort) and audit report lag. This suggests that technology does not replace traditional audit qualities but rather acts as a force multiplier, enabling firms to leverage their experience and scale more effectively within the complex regulatory environment.

4 Conclusion

This study makes an original contribution to the audit quality literature by conceptualizing, measuring, and validating Regulatory Intelligence Quotient (RIQ) as a pivotal determinant in highly regulated financial industries. The findings challenge the sufficiency of static, input-based models of audit quality. In sectors where the rulebook is perpetually being rewritten, quality is demonstrably linked to an audit firm's dynamic capability to anticipate and adapt to regulatory evolution.

The practical implications are substantial. For audit firms, the research provides a roadmap for competitive differentiation, highlighting the need to invest not just in technical training but in systemic regulatory foresight capabilities, advanced analytics, and strategic technology adoption. For audit committees and financial institutions selecting auditors, the findings suggest that evaluations should explicitly probe a firm's RIQ—its processes for regulatory horizon scanning, technology stack, and engagement with the regulatory community—alongside traditional criteria.

For regulators, the study offers a new lens for oversight. Encouraging and potentially assessing the RIQ of audit firms could be a more effective lever for systemic risk mitigation than purely punitive post-failure measures. Policies that facilitate auditor access to regulatory sandboxes or collaborative forums on emerging risks could enhance the overall intelligence of the audit ecosystem.

Limitations of the study include the reliance on proxy measures for some constructs and the focus on large, publicly visible audit engagements. Future research could explore RIQ in the context of smaller financial institutions, examine its development over time within firms, and investigate the specific AI algorithms most effective for regulatory prediction. In conclusion, as financial regulation continues its rapid transformation, the audit profession must evolve from being historians of compliance to becoming foresight-enabled guardians of financial system integrity. The Regulatory Intelligence Quotient provides a critical framework for this necessary evolution.

References

Ahmad, H. S. (2025). Governance, Risk, and Compliance (GRC) in Banking Information Systems: The Role of IS Auditors in Maintaining Financial Integrity. University of Missouri Kansas City.

DeFond, M. L., Zhang, J. (2014). A review of archival auditing research. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 58(2-3), 275-326.

Khan, H., Gonzalez, A., Wilson, A. (2025). Continuous Learning AI Model for Monitoring Autism Progress and Long-Term Developmental Outcomes: Sustainable Framework for Future-Oriented Autism Support. Virtual University; University of Missouri System.

Knechel, W. R., Krishnan, G. V., Pevzner, M., Shefchik, L. B., Velury, U. K. (2013). Audit quality: Insights from the academic literature. Auditing: A Journal of Practice Theory, 32(Supplement 1), 385-421.

Power, M. K. (2003). Auditing and the production of legitimacy. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 28(4), 379-394.

Simnett, R., Huggins, A. L. (2015). Integrated reporting and assurance: Where can research add value? Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal, 6(1), 29-53.

Vasarhelyi, M. A., Kogan, A., Tuttle, B. M. (2015). Big data in accounting: An overview. Accounting Horizons, 29(2), 381-396.

Wright, A., Sedgeley, M. (2014). The evolving role of the auditor in detecting and reporting fraud: A study of regulators' expectations. Journal of Business Ethics, 124(4), 727-739.

Yoon, K., Hoogduin, L., Zhang, L. (2015). Big data as complementary audit evidence. Accounting Horizons, 29(2), 431-438.

Zhao, Y., Chen, K. H. (2018). The impact of information technology on audit quality: Evidence from audit process data. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 29, 1-15.