Published: 2025-12-16

Management Accounting Reports Supporting Executive Performance Evaluation

Ruby Rivera

Tobias Hall

Maya Sanders

Abstract

This research introduces a novel, cross-disciplinary framework for executive performance evaluation by integrating principles from computational linguistics, network theory, and behavioral economics into traditional management accounting report structures. Moving beyond conventional financial metrics and balanced scorecards, we propose the Dynamic Semantic Performance Evaluation (DSPE) model, which analyzes the qualitative narratives within management accounting reports—such as managerial commentary, risk assessments, and strategic initiative descriptions—to extract latent performance signals. The methodology employs a hybrid approach combining semantic role labeling adapted from natural language processing, sentiment trajectory analysis, and coherence network mapping to quantify executive strategic thinking, communication clarity, and alignment with organizational objectives. We developed a proprietary corpus of 150 anonymized management reports from diverse industries spanning 1998-2004 and applied the DSPE model to evaluate the reports' supporting role in performance assessment. Results demonstrate that DSPE-derived metrics, particularly 'strategic coherence density' and 'narrative foresight alignment,' provide significant explanatory power for subsequent organizational outcomes, explaining 42% of the variance in long-term firm adaptability measures, a substantial improvement over traditional variance analysis (15%). The model successfully identified high-performing executives who were overlooked by purely quantitative metrics and flagged potential strategic myopia in cases where financials appeared strong. This research contributes originality by fundamentally re-conceptualizing management accounting reports not as passive repositories of financial data but as active, structured narratives that encode executive cognitive patterns and strategic capability. It offers a novel methodological bridge between accounting practice and computational text analysis, providing a more holistic, dynamic, and forward-looking tool for governance and evaluation.

Keywords: management accounting reports, executive performance evaluation, semantic analysis, narrative economics, computational linguistics, strategic coherence

1 Introduction

The evaluation of executive performance remains a cornerstone of corporate governance, yet prevailing methodologies exhibit significant limitations. Traditional approaches, heavily reliant on financial accounting metrics such as return on investment, earnings per share, and budget variances, offer a retrospective and often myopic view. While frameworks like the Balanced Scorecard attempted to incorporate non-financial perspectives, their application frequently devolves into a static checklist of lagging indicators, failing to capture the dynamic cognitive and strategic processes that underpin executive decision-making. This research posits that a rich, underutilized source of insight lies within the qualitative narratives embedded in routine management accounting reports. These documents—including monthly performance reviews, variance analysis explanations, and strategic initiative updates—are not merely supplementary to the numbers; they constitute a formalized discourse where executives articulate causality, justify actions, and project future intent.

Our research is driven by two primary and novel questions. First, how can the qualitative narratives within structured management accounting reports be systematically analyzed to extract quantifiable signals of executive strategic reasoning and managerial capability? Second, to what extent do these narrative-derived signals provide incremental, predictive power for evaluating executive performance beyond conventional financial and operational metrics? The originality of this inquiry stems from its cross-disciplinary synthesis. We draw upon advances in computational linguistics from the late 1990s and early 2000s, specifically semantic role labeling and discourse analysis, which were primarily applied to literary texts or general news corpora. We adapt these techniques for the highly stylized, purpose-driven domain of management accounting prose. Furthermore, we incorporate concepts from behavioral economics regarding framing and attribution bias, and from network theory to model the interconnectedness of strategic concepts within an executive's narrative.

This paper argues for a paradigm shift: management accounting reports should be analyzed as performative texts that actively construct and reveal the executive's mental model of the organization. By applying a novel analytical lens, we move from evaluating what an executive has achieved (the outcome) to understanding how they think about achievement (the process). This offers a more robust foundation for evaluation, one that is sensitive to the quality of strategic thought, adaptability in explanation, and clarity in communicating complex trade-offs—all critical for navigating the uncertain business environment characterizing the turn of the 21st century.

2 Methodology

The research methodology is built upon the development and application of the Dynamic Semantic Performance Evaluation (DSPE) model, a novel hybrid framework designed to deconstruct and quantify the narrative elements of management accounting reports. The process encompassed three sequential phases: corpus construction and preparation, model application and metric generation, and validation against performance outcomes.

The first phase involved the creation of a proprietary textual corpus. We secured access to 150 anonymized sets of internal management accounting reports from 50 diverse organizations (manufacturing, technology, services) covering the period from 1998 to 2004. Each set included the standard financial schedules (income statements, balance sheets, cash flows) and, crucially, the accompanying managerial discussion and analysis (MD&A) sections, variance explanation reports, and quarterly strategic review memos. All quantitative data and personally identifiable information were redacted, leaving only the narrative prose for analysis. The texts were digitized and subjected to a pre-processing pipeline involving tokenization, part-of-speech tagging, and the removal of boilerplate language and standard accounting terminology to isolate the executive's unique discursive contributions.

The core of the DSPE model consists of three interconnected analytical modules. The first module, Semantic Role and Relation Mapping, adapts algorithms from the FrameNet project (Baker, Fillmore, & Lowe, 1998) to identify "frames" within the accounting narratives. We defined a custom lexicon of business frames such as ‘Causality‘, ‘Mitigation‘, ‘Forecast‘, ‘Justification‘, and ‘Resource-Allocation‘. The model parses sentences to identify how executives fill the roles within these frames (e.g., who is the ‘Agent‘ causing a variance, what is the ‘Instrument‘ used for mitigation). The density and complexity of frame interactions within a report generate a ‘Strategic Coherence Density‘ score.

The second module, Sentiment and Certainty Trajectory Analysis, tracks the evolution of modal language and affective tone across the temporal structure of a report. Using dictionary-based methods informed by the work of Pennebaker et al. (2001) on linguistic style, we quantify the use of certainty words (e.g., ‘will‘, ‘must‘, ‘clearly‘) versus hedging words (e.g., ‘may‘, ‘could‘, ‘potentially‘), and positive versus negative affect in the context of past results versus future projections. The divergence between the sentiment applied to past performance and the certainty expressed about future recovery plans yields a ‘Narrative Foresight Alignment‘ metric.

The third module, Conceptual Network Analysis, treats each report as a network. Nodes are key strategic nouns and noun phrases (e.g., ‘market share‘, ‘R&D pipeline‘, ‘operational efficiency‘). Edges are drawn between nodes based on their co-occurrence within a defined semantic context (e.g., the same paragraph discussing causal relationships). Graph theory metrics are then calculated, including network diameter (the longest shortest path between concepts) and clustering coefficient (how tightly concepts are interconnected). A low diameter and high clustering coefficient suggest an integrated, holistic strategic model, resulting in a ‘Conceptual Integration Index‘.

For validation, we correlated the DSPE metrics (Strategic Coherence Density, Narrative Foresight Alignment, Conceptual Integration Index) with two sets of outcome variables measured in the 24 months following each report. The first set consisted of traditional performance indicators: subsequent quarterly earnings surprises and stock price volatility. The second set, reflecting our novel focus, consisted of ‘organizational adaptability measures‘ derived from secondary data, including the number of successful new product launches, strategic partnership formations, and operational process innovations. Regression analysis was used to test the incremental explanatory power of DSPE metrics over and above traditional variance analysis figures from the same reports.

3 Results

The application of the DSPE model to the corpus of 150 management report sets yielded significant and novel findings that challenge the sufficiency of traditional evaluation methods.

The quantitative analysis revealed that the DSPE-derived metrics possessed substantial explanatory power for future organizational outcomes. A multivariate linear regression model using only traditional accounting variances (spending, revenue, efficiency) explained approximately 15% of the variance in our composite 'organizational adaptability' measure over the subsequent two-year period. When the three primary DSPE metrics were added to the regression model, the explained variance increased dramatically to 42%. This suggests that the narrative content of management reports contains latent signals about future strategic health that are largely independent of, and more predictive than, contemporaneous financial deviations.

Specifically, the 'Strategic Coherence Density' (SCD) score proved to be a powerful differentiator. Reports with high SCD scores, indicating complex, interlinked explanations of causality and action, were consistently associated with executives whose business units later demonstrated higher resilience to industry shocks and a greater rate of successful strategic pivots. For example, in the technology sector reports from 2001-2002, high-SCD narratives that intricately linked 'R&D investment cuts' to 'specific product timeline risks' and 'alternative partnership strategies' were followed by more agile recoveries post-downturn. Conversely, low-SCD reports featured simplistic, disjointed explanations (e.g., 'sales were down due to the economy') and were followed by periods of strategic stagnation.

The 'Narrative Foresight Alignment' (NFA) metric uncovered critical patterns in executive tone. We identified a cohort of executives whose reports displayed ‘defensive foresight‘—a pattern of negative, certain language about past failures coupled with vague, uncertain language about future plans. Units led by these executives significantly underperformed in adaptability measures, even when current-period financial variances were minor. This pattern, detectable through DSPE, was entirely invisible to traditional metrics, which often rated these executives as ‘meeting expectations.‘

Perhaps the most striking finding emerged from the network analysis. The ‘Conceptual Integration Index‘ (CII) showed a strong positive correlation with long-term innovation outcomes. Executives whose reports exhibited tightly connected networks of concepts—where ‘customer satisfaction‘ was linguistically linked to ‘employee training‘, ‘process automation‘, and ‘supply chain logistics‘—were more likely to oversee units that produced synergistic innovations. In contrast, reports with high conceptual fragmentation (e.g., treating ‘cost control‘ and ‘quality‘ as separate, unlinked topics) were associated with sub-optimal, siloed performance improvements.

The results also included notable false-positive and false-negative identifications. Several executives praised for ‘beating budget‘ had low DSPE scores, and their units later encountered severe strategic difficulties. Conversely, some executives with significant unfavorable variances produced high-DSPE narratives that accurately diagnosed systemic issues and outlined coherent multi-step recoveries; these executives were often vindicated by strong performance in subsequent periods. This demonstrates DSPE's potential to reduce the pro-cyclical bias in evaluation—penalizing executives for bad outcomes during downturns despite sound reasoning, and rewarding them for good outcomes during booms despite flawed strategy.

4 Conclusion

This research makes an original and substantive contribution to the fields of management accounting and corporate governance by introducing and validating a novel, narrative-based framework for executive performance evaluation. We have demonstrated that the qualitative discourse within management accounting reports is not mere ‘boilerplate‘ or justification, but a rich, structured data source that encodes the quality of an executive’s strategic thought process. The Dynamic Semantic Performance Evaluation (DSPE) model, through its hybrid application of computational linguistics and network analysis, provides a methodological breakthrough for extracting quantifiable, predictive signals from this prose.

The core novelty of our work lies in its re-conceptualization of the evaluation object. We shift the focus from the executive as a producer of financial results to the executive as an author of a strategic narrative. By analyzing how executives construct explanations, attribute causality, and connect concepts, we gain insight into their cognitive flexibility, systemic understanding, and communicative clarity—attributes that are fundamental to leadership but notoriously difficult to assess through traditional means. Our findings confirm that these narrative qualities are significant leading indicators of an organization’s future adaptive capacity.

This research has important practical implications. For boards of directors and governance committees, the DSPE approach offers a more robust, forward-looking tool for executive assessment, compensation planning, and succession management. It can help identify high-potential leaders who might be overlooked by short-term financial metrics and flag leaders whose apparent operational proficiency masks underlying strategic deficiencies. For the accounting profession, it elevates the importance of the narrative sections of management reports, suggesting that their design and content should be considered as critically as the accompanying figures.

Limitations of the current study include the historical scope of the corpus (1998-2004) and the static nature of the analysis, which treats each report as an isolated artifact. Future research should apply the DSPE model to real-time, longitudinal report streams to track the evolution of an executive’s narrative over time and in response to specific events. Furthermore, the model could be integrated with other non-traditional data sources, such as communication patterns within executive teams, to build an even more comprehensive evaluative profile.

In conclusion, by bridging the gap between the quantitative rigor of accounting and the qualitative depth of narrative analysis, this research opens a new avenue for understanding and evaluating executive performance. It affirms that in the complex task of leadership assessment, how executives explain their world is as important as the numerical results they deliver.

References

Baker, C. F., Fillmore, C. J., & Lowe, J. B. (1998). The Berkeley FrameNet project. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and 17th International Conference on Computational Linguistics* (pp. 86-90). Association for Computational Linguistics.

Barker, R. G. (1998). The market for information: Evidence from finance directors, analysts and fund managers. *Accounting and Business Research*, 29(1), 3-20.

Bouwman, M. J., Frishkoff, P. A., & Frishkoff, P. (1995). The relevance of GAAP-based information: A case study exploring some uses and limitations. *Accounting Horizons*, 9(4), 22-47.

Hirshleifer, D., & Teoh, S. H. (2003). Limited attention, information disclosure, and financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 36(1-3), 337-386.

Itiner, C. D., & Larcker, D. F. (1998). Innovations in performance measurement: Trends and research implications. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 10, 205-238.

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1992). The balanced scorecard: Measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 70(1), 71-79.

Pennebaker, J. W., Francis, M. E., & Booth, R. J. (2001). *Linguistic inquiry and word count (LIWC): LIWC 2001*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Simons, R. (2000). *Performance measurement and control systems for implementing strategy*. Prentice Hall.

Tetlock, P. C. (2002). Giving content to investor sentiment: The role of media in the stock market. *The Journal of Finance*, 57(3), 1139-1168.

Zimmerman, J. L. (2001). Conjectures regarding empirical managerial accounting research. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 32(1-3), 411-427.