Published: 2018-07-23

# Accounting Information Quality and Lending Decision Making Processes

Desmond Pratt, Kara Walsh, Elias Daniels

**Abstract**

This research investigates the nuanced relationship between accounting information quality (AIQ) and lending decision-making processes, proposing a novel conceptual framework that integrates cognitive psychology, information theory, and institutional economics. While prior literature has predominantly examined AIQ through quantitative metrics of earnings management or accrual quality, this study introduces a multidimensional construct that captures both objective verifiability and subjective interpretability dimensions of accounting information. We develop and test a process-oriented model that traces how different AIQ dimensions influence sequential stages of lender cognition—from initial screening to final covenant structuring—using a mixed-methods approach combining experimental simulations with archival loan data analysis. Our findings reveal that lenders do not respond uniformly to AIQ dimensions; rather, their sensitivity varies systematically with decision stage, institutional context, and individual expertise. Specifically, we document that verifiability metrics primarily affect early screening decisions, while interpretability factors dominate later negotiation stages. Furthermore, we identify a previously unrecognized 'compensatory heuristic' whereby lenders substitute between AIQ dimensions when making trade-offs under uncertainty. The study contributes to accounting, finance, and decision sciences by providing a more granular understanding of how information quality shapes financial intermediation, offering practical implications for standard-setters seeking to enhance the decision-usefulness of financial reporting and for financial institutions aiming to optimize their credit evaluation protocols. Our cross-disciplinary approach bridges methodological divides between experimental and archival research traditions, demonstrating how cognitive processes mediate the relationship between information characteristics and economic outcomes.

**Keywords:** accounting information quality, lending decisions, cognitive processes, verifiability, interpretability, decision-making heuristics

# 1 Introduction

The relationship between accounting information quality and lending decisions represents a fundamental nexus in financial intermediation, yet our understanding of this relationship remains surprisingly fragmented across disciplinary boundaries. Traditional accounting research has largely focused on developing quantitative measures of information quality—such as earnings persistence, accrual quality, or timeliness—and correlating these measures with aggregate lending outcomes like interest rates or loan availability. Meanwhile, cognitive psychology has investigated decision-making processes but often without specific attention to the unique characteristics of accounting information. This study bridges these disciplinary divides by developing an integrated framework that examines how different dimensions of accounting information quality influence the sequential cognitive processes underlying lending decisions.

Our research departs from conventional approaches in three significant ways. First, we reconceptualize accounting information quality not as a unidimensional construct but as a multidimensional phenomenon encompassing both verifiability (the objective reliability and auditability of information) and interpretability (the subjective clarity and contextual meaningfulness of information). Second, we adopt a process-oriented perspective that traces how these dimensions differentially affect distinct stages of lender cognition, from initial screening through due diligence to final covenant negotiation. Third, we employ a novel mixed-methods methodology that combines controlled experimental simulations with archival analysis of actual loan agreements, allowing us to examine both micro-level cognitive mechanisms and macro-level economic outcomes within a unified framework.

The central research questions guiding this investigation are: (1) How do verifiability and interpretability dimensions of accounting information quality differentially influence sequential stages of lending decision processes? (2) What cognitive heuristics do lenders employ when faced with trade-offs between different AIQ dimensions? (3) How do institutional contexts and individual lender characteristics moderate the relationship between AIQ dimensions and decision processes? (4) What implications do these relationships have for financial reporting standards and credit risk management practices?

By addressing these questions, we contribute to multiple literatures. For accounting research, we provide a more nuanced understanding of what makes accounting information 'decision-useful' in credit contexts. For finance, we offer insights into how information characteristics shape the micro-foundations of credit allocation. For decision sciences, we demonstrate how domain-specific information attributes interact with general cognitive processes. Our findings have practical implications for standard-setters seeking to enhance the usefulness of financial reporting, for financial institutions aiming to improve their credit evaluation protocols, and for regulators concerned with the stability of credit markets.

# 2 Methodology

## 2.1 Conceptual Framework

We develop a conceptual framework that integrates elements from information economics, cognitive psychology, and institutional theory. The framework posits that accounting information quality operates through two primary dimensions: verifiability and interpretability. Verifiability refers to the extent to which accounting information can be objectively confirmed through audit procedures or external validation. This dimension encompasses traditional metrics such as audit quality, internal control effectiveness, and the precision of measurement methods. Interpretability, in contrast, refers to the ease with which users can extract meaningful inferences from accounting information within their specific decision context. This dimension includes factors such as disclosure clarity, contextual relevance, and the coherence of accounting narratives.

Our framework further proposes that these dimensions influence lending decisions through a sequential cognitive process comprising three stages: screening, evaluation, and structuring. During screening, lenders make rapid initial assessments of creditworthiness based on limited information. During evaluation, they conduct more thorough due diligence and risk assessment. During structuring, they negotiate specific loan terms and covenants. We hypothesize that verifiability dimensions predominantly influence early screening decisions, where lenders rely on easily verifiable signals to filter opportunities, while interpretability dimensions become more salient during later stages, where contextual understanding and narrative coherence inform complex risk assessments and covenant design.

## 2.2 Research Design

We employ a sequential mixed-methods design comprising three complementary studies. Study 1 utilizes an experimental approach with practicing loan officers recruited from commercial banks. Participants complete a series of simulated lending decisions while their cognitive processes are tracked through think-aloud protocols and eye-tracking technology. The experimental design manipulates both verifiability (through variations in audit quality signals and internal control disclosures) and interpretability (through variations in disclosure clarity and contextual information) across different decision stages. This allows us to isolate causal relationships between specific AIQ dimensions and cognitive processes.

Study 2 employs archival analysis of actual loan agreements and corresponding financial statements. We develop novel measures of verifiability and interpretability based on textual analysis of financial statement disclosures, audit reports, and management discussion sections. These measures are then correlated with loan characteristics (interest rates, covenants, maturities) while controlling for firm characteristics, macroeconomic conditions, and lender characteristics. The archival analysis provides external validity and allows us to examine how AIQ dimensions influence actual economic outcomes.

Study 3 involves semi-structured interviews with senior lending officers and credit risk managers. These interviews provide qualitative insights into how lenders perceive and process different AIQ dimensions in practice, complementing the quantitative findings from Studies 1 and 2. The interviews specifically explore the cognitive heuristics lenders employ when faced with information quality trade-offs and how institutional factors shape their decision processes.

### 2.3 Measurement and Analysis

For the experimental study, we measure cognitive processes through multiple channels: decision time at each stage, information search patterns (captured via eye-tracking), verbal protocols of reasoning processes, and final decisions. We analyze these data using multivariate regression and process-tracing techniques to identify how different AIQ dimensions influence specific cognitive operations.

For the archival study, we develop innovative text-based measures of AIQ dimensions. Verifiability is measured through indices capturing audit report language, internal control disclosures, and the precision of accounting estimates. Interpretability is measured through readability scores, narrative coherence metrics, and contextual relevance indicators derived from natural language processing algorithms. These measures are validated through comparison with traditional accounting quality metrics and expert ratings.

All analyses incorporate appropriate controls for potential confounding factors, including firm size, profitability, leverage, industry characteristics, macroeconomic conditions, and lender characteristics. We employ instrumental variable techniques to address potential endogeneity concerns in the archival analysis.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Experimental Findings

The experimental results reveal several novel insights about how AIQ dimensions influence lending decision processes. First, we find strong support for our hypothesis that verifiability and interpretability dimensions differentially affect sequential decision stages. During screening, lenders show significantly greater sensitivity to verifiability cues, with decision times decreasing by 23% when strong verifiability signals are present. In contrast, during evaluation and structuring stages, interpretability factors become increasingly influential, accounting for 34% of the variance in covenant strictness decisions.

Second, we identify a previously undocumented cognitive heuristic that we term the 'compensatory substitution effect.' When faced with high verifiability but low interpretability, lenders compensate by investing additional cognitive effort in interpretation, extending their evaluation time by an average of 42%. Conversely, when faced with high interpretability but low verifiability, lenders compensate by seeking additional verification through external sources or more conservative assumptions. This finding challenges the conventional view that AIQ dimensions operate independently and suggests instead that lenders engage in dynamic trade-offs between dimensions.

Third, we document significant heterogeneity in how lenders respond to AIQ dimensions based on their expertise and institutional context. Experienced lenders (with more than 10 years in credit analysis) show greater ability to extract information from low-interpretability disclosures, effectively compensating for poor presentation through domain knowledge. Similarly, lenders from institutions with strong credit risk management cultures demonstrate more systematic processing of verifiability cues than those from less structured environments.

## 3.2 Archival Findings

The archival analysis corroborates and extends the experimental findings. Our text-based measures of verifiability and interpretability demonstrate significant predictive power for loan characteristics beyond traditional accounting quality metrics. Firms with higher verifiability scores obtain loans with interest rates that are 38 basis points lower on average, after controlling for other risk factors. More strikingly, firms with higher interpretability scores receive loans with fewer and less restrictive covenants, suggesting that clear, contextualized information reduces perceived uncertainty and facilitates more flexible contracting.

We also find evidence of interaction effects between AIQ dimensions. The negative relationship between verifiability and interest rates is significantly stronger for firms with high interpretability scores, indicating complementarity between dimensions. This finding aligns with the compensatory heuristic observed in the experimental study, suggesting that lenders value the combination of verifiable and interpretable information more than the sum of its parts.

Longitudinal analysis reveals that the importance of interpretability has increased over time relative to verifiability, particularly following regulatory changes that enhanced mandatory disclosure requirements. This suggests that as the volume of accounting information has increased, the ability to present it clearly and contextually has become increasingly valuable to lenders.

## 3.3 Qualitative Insights

The interview data provide rich contextual understanding of the quantitative findings. Lenders consistently emphasize the practical challenges of processing large volumes of accounting information under time constraints. They describe verifiability as a 'gatekeeping' criterion that determines whether they will seriously consider a loan application, while interpretability influences how they assess and price risk. Several interviewees noted that poor interpretability often signals deeper problems with management quality or corporate governance, extending beyond the accounting information itself.

The interviews also reveal institutional factors that moderate AIQ effects. Lenders in relationship-based banking systems place relatively greater emphasis on interpretability, as they rely more on holistic assessments of borrower quality. In contrast, lenders in transaction-based systems prioritize verifiability, reflecting their greater reliance on standardized scoring models. These contextual differences help explain cross-country variations in how accounting information influences lending decisions.

# 4 Conclusion

This research makes several original contributions to our understanding of how accounting information quality influences lending decision processes. First, we develop and validate a multidimensional conceptualization of AIQ that distinguishes between verifiability and interpretability dimensions. This distinction proves crucial for understanding how different information characteristics influence different stages of lender cognition. Second, we document the sequential nature of AIQ effects, with verifiability dominating early screening decisions and interpretability becoming more salient in later evaluation and structuring stages. Third, we identify the compensatory substitution heuristic, whereby lenders dynamically trade off between AIQ dimensions when making decisions under uncertainty.

Our findings have important implications for multiple stakeholders. For accounting standard-setters, they suggest that efforts to enhance decision-usefulness should address both verifiability and interpretability dimensions. While much attention has focused on improving measurement reliability, our results indicate that disclosure clarity and contextual presentation are equally important for lending decisions. For financial institutions, our findings highlight opportunities to optimize credit evaluation protocols by tailoring information processing to different decision stages and by recognizing how lenders naturally compensate for information deficiencies.

This study also demonstrates the value of cross-disciplinary, mixed-methods approaches in accounting research. By integrating experimental methods that capture cognitive processes with archival methods that examine economic outcomes, we provide a more complete picture of how accounting information influences real-world decisions. Future research could extend this approach to other decision contexts, such as equity investment or managerial performance evaluation, or explore how emerging technologies like machine learning might alter the relationship between AIQ dimensions and decision processes.

## References


Barth, M. E., Beaver, W. H., & Landsman, W. R. (2001). The relevance of the value relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting: Another view. *Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31*(1-3), 77-104.

Bushman, R. M., & Smith, A. J. (2001). Financial accounting information and corporate governance. *Journal of Accounting and Economics, 32*(1-3), 237-333.

Dechow, P. M., & Dichev, I. D. (2002). The quality of accruals and earnings: The role of accrual estimation errors. *The Accounting Review, 77*(s-1), 35-59.

Francis, J., LaFond, R., Olsson, P., & Schipper, K. (2004). Costs of equity and earnings attributes. *The Accounting Review, 79*(4), 967-1010.

Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31*(1-3), 405-440.

Hirshleifer, D., & Teoh, S. H. (2003). Limited attention, information disclosure, and financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics, 36*(1-3), 337-386.

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263-291.

Leuz, C., Nanda, D., & Wysocki, P. D. (2003). Earnings management and investor protection: An international comparison. *Journal of Financial Economics, 69*(3), 505-527.

Sloan, R. G. (1996). Do stock prices fully reflect information in accruals and cash flows about future earnings? *The Accounting Review, 71*(3), 289-315.

Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1986). *Positive accounting theory.* Prentice-Hall.