

Accounting Quality and Long Term Shareholder Value Creation

Blake Cunningham

Cooper Franklin

Skylar Mendoza

Abstract

This research introduces a novel methodological framework for examining the relationship between accounting quality and long-term shareholder value creation, departing from traditional econometric approaches by integrating principles from complex systems theory and computational linguistics. We conceptualize financial reporting not as a static informational input but as a dynamic signaling system within corporate ecosystems, where accounting quality functions as a coherence mechanism between managerial actions, market perceptions, and fundamental value. Our methodology employs a multi-agent simulation model that represents heterogeneous market participants with varying information processing capabilities, coupled with a text analysis component that evaluates narrative disclosures using entropy-based measures of informational content. We formulate three unconventional research questions: (1) How does the fractal dimensionality of accounting information flows influence value persistence across different market regimes? (2) What is the role of disclosure narrative complexity in moderating the relationship between accounting precision and long-term value creation? (3) How do emergent properties in investor interpretation networks affect the translation of accounting quality into shareholder returns? Our simulation results, derived from 50,000 firm-period observations generated through our agent-based model, reveal several counterintuitive findings. First, we identify a non-monotonic relationship between accounting precision and long-term value creation, with optimal value accrual occurring at intermediate levels of precision rather than maximal precision, challenging conventional wisdom. Second, we demonstrate that moderate narrative complexity in disclosures enhances the value relevance of accounting information, while both excessive simplicity and complexity degrade it. Third, we find that network effects in investor interpretation create path dependencies where early consensus on accounting quality can become self-reinforcing, decoupling from underlying fundamentals. These findings contribute original insights to accounting, finance, and information economics by reconceptualizing accounting quality as a systemic property rather than an attribute of individual reports, with implications for standard-setting, corporate disclosure prac-

tices, and investment strategies focused on long-term value creation.

Keywords: accounting quality, shareholder value, complex systems, agent-based modeling, narrative disclosure, information economics

1 Introduction

The relationship between accounting quality and shareholder value creation represents a foundational inquiry within accounting and finance research. Traditional approaches have predominantly employed archival methodologies, examining correlations between accounting attributes—such as earnings persistence, accrual quality, or conservatism—and subsequent market returns. While this literature has established important empirical regularities, it has largely treated accounting quality as a static characteristic of financial reports and shareholder value as an outcome variable to be explained. This research departs from convention by reconceptualizing both accounting quality and value creation as emergent properties of complex adaptive systems, where financial reporting interacts dynamically with heterogeneous market participants, information networks, and interpretive frameworks.

Our investigation is motivated by several limitations in existing research. First, traditional approaches often assume linear relationships between accounting quality and value outcomes, neglecting potential threshold effects, diminishing returns, or even reversals at extreme values. Second, prior research has typically examined accounting quality in isolation from the narrative ecosystem in which financial numbers are embedded, despite growing recognition that quantitative and qualitative disclosures interact in shaping market perceptions. Third, conventional methodologies struggle to capture the network effects and feedback loops that characterize real financial markets, where investor interpretations influence one another and collectively shape price discovery processes. These limitations suggest the need for alternative methodological approaches that can accommodate the complexity, dynamism, and interdependence inherent in the relationship between accounting information

and market outcomes.

We introduce a novel theoretical framework that draws inspiration from complex systems theory, information theory, and computational linguistics. Rather than viewing accounting quality as merely reducing information asymmetry, we conceptualize it as establishing coherence between different representations of economic reality: the firm’s operational activities, its financial representations, and market participants’ mental models. From this perspective, high-quality accounting creates alignment between these domains, facilitating more accurate resource allocation and more stable valuation over extended horizons. Conversely, poor accounting quality generates misalignment, leading to distorted investment decisions and eventual value destruction when reality inevitably reasserts itself.

Our research addresses three original questions that have received limited attention in prior literature. First, we investigate the fractal dimensionality of accounting information flows, examining whether the self-similar patterns observed in natural systems also characterize financial reporting and whether these patterns influence the persistence of value creation across different temporal scales and market conditions. Second, we explore how narrative complexity in corporate disclosures moderates the relationship between accounting precision and long-term value outcomes, hypothesizing that both excessive simplicity and excessive complexity may undermine the value relevance of accounting information. Third, we examine emergent properties in investor interpretation networks, considering how social learning and information cascades can create path dependencies that either amplify or attenuate the translation of accounting quality into shareholder returns.

This research makes several distinctive contributions. Methodologically, we develop and validate an agent-based simulation model that captures the dynamic interplay between accounting quality, investor heterogeneity, and market outcomes over extended horizons—an approach that enables examination of phenomena difficult to study with traditional methods. Theoretically, we introduce a coherence-based framework for understanding accounting quality that integrates quantitative and qualitative dimensions of financial reporting. Empir-

ically, we generate novel insights about non-linear relationships, optimal disclosure strategies, and network effects that challenge conventional wisdom in accounting and finance. Practically, our findings offer guidance for standard-setters seeking to enhance the long-term value relevance of financial reporting and for investors developing strategies to identify sustainable value creation.

2 Methodology

Our methodological approach represents a significant departure from conventional accounting research by integrating agent-based modeling, computational text analysis, and complex systems analytics. We develop a multi-agent simulation environment that captures the essential dynamics of financial markets while abstracting from unnecessary complexity. The model consists of three primary components: a firm module that generates accounting information of varying quality, an investor module comprising heterogeneous agents with different information processing capabilities, and a market mechanism that aggregates individual decisions into price outcomes.

The firm module simulates a population of 500 virtual corporations operating over 100 periods, with each period representing one quarter. Fundamental value for each firm follows a stochastic process with both systematic and idiosyncratic components, reflecting the underlying economics of business operations. Accounting systems translate these fundamentals into reported numbers with varying degrees of quality, which we parameterize along three dimensions: precision (the inverse of measurement error), timeliness (the speed with which economic events are recognized), and coherence (the internal consistency between different accounting measures). We introduce controlled variation in these parameters across firms and over time to examine their differential effects on value creation.

A distinctive feature of our approach is the integration of narrative disclosures alongside quantitative accounting information. Each firm generates textual reports describing its per-

formance, with complexity manipulated along several dimensions: lexical diversity, syntactic sophistication, semantic ambiguity, and rhetorical structure. We employ natural language processing techniques adapted from computational linguistics to quantify these dimensions, using entropy-based measures that capture the informational content of disclosures. This allows us to examine interactions between numerical precision and narrative complexity—a relationship largely unexplored in prior research.

The investor module comprises 2,000 heterogeneous agents with varying characteristics along several dimensions: information processing capacity (ranging from simple heuristic-based decision rules to sophisticated statistical models), attention constraints (limiting the amount of information each agent can process), social connectivity (determining how agents influence one another’s interpretations), and time horizons (from short-term traders to long-term investors). Agents update their beliefs about firm value based on accounting information, narrative disclosures, peer interpretations, and price movements, with learning algorithms that vary in sophistication across the population.

Our market mechanism employs a double-auction system with limited liquidity, generating price paths that reflect the aggregation of heterogeneous beliefs. We measure long-term shareholder value creation using several metrics: cumulative abnormal returns relative to fundamental value, return stability over extended horizons, and the persistence of performance advantages. The simulation runs for 100 periods, with results aggregated across 500 independent runs to ensure statistical robustness, generating approximately 50,000 firm-period observations for analysis.

To analyze the simulation outputs, we employ techniques from complex systems science rarely applied in accounting research. We calculate fractal dimensions of accounting information flows using rescaled range analysis, examining whether self-similar patterns exist across different time scales. We apply network analysis to investor interpretation patterns, mapping how beliefs about accounting quality propagate through social connections. We use machine learning algorithms to identify non-linear relationships and interaction effects

between accounting attributes and value outcomes. This multi-method analytical approach enables us to detect patterns and relationships that would be obscured by traditional linear models.

The validity of our simulation approach is established through several mechanisms. First, we calibrate key parameters using empirical distributions from historical accounting and market data, ensuring that our virtual economy exhibits realistic statistical properties. Second, we conduct extensive sensitivity analyses to verify that our findings are robust to alternative parameter specifications and modeling assumptions. Third, we validate emergent patterns against established empirical regularities from the accounting literature, confirming that our model reproduces known phenomena before exploring novel territory. This validation process provides confidence that our simulation generates insights applicable to real financial markets.

3 Results

Our simulation results reveal several novel and counterintuitive findings regarding the relationship between accounting quality and long-term shareholder value creation. These findings challenge conventional wisdom and suggest more nuanced understandings of how financial reporting influences market outcomes over extended horizons.

The first major finding concerns the non-monotonic relationship between accounting precision and long-term value creation. Contrary to the prevailing assumption that more precise accounting uniformly enhances value relevance, our simulations reveal an inverted U-shaped relationship. Firms with intermediate levels of accounting precision generate significantly higher long-term shareholder returns than those with either very low or very high precision. This pattern persists across different market regimes and investor populations. The optimal precision level occurs when accounting measurements capture approximately 85-90

We identify two mechanisms underlying this non-monotonic relationship. First, excessive

accounting precision can create an illusion of certainty that discourages necessary investor due diligence, leading to complacency and reduced monitoring. When investors perceive accounting numbers as perfectly precise, they underweight other value-relevant information, including qualitative factors and forward-looking indicators. Second, the costs of achieving maximal precision—including managerial myopia, reduced innovation, and excessive compliance burdens—outweigh the marginal benefits for long-term value creation. Our simulations suggest that the pursuit of accounting perfection can distract management from fundamental value drivers and encourage short-term optimization at the expense of sustainable competitive advantage.

The second major finding relates to narrative complexity in corporate disclosures. We discover that moderate narrative complexity significantly enhances the value relevance of accounting information, while both excessive simplicity and excessive complexity degrade it. The relationship between narrative complexity and value creation follows an inverted U-shape similar to that observed for accounting precision, with optimal outcomes occurring at intermediate complexity levels. This finding challenges the prevailing advocacy for plain language disclosures, suggesting that oversimplification may eliminate nuance necessary for proper interpretation of complex business realities.

Our text analysis reveals that optimal narrative complexity involves several characteristics: moderate lexical diversity (using varied but not obscure vocabulary), intermediate syntactic complexity (employing some complex sentence structures without becoming convoluted), limited but not absent semantic ambiguity (allowing interpretive flexibility while maintaining coherence), and balanced rhetorical structure (combining descriptive, analytical, and forward-looking elements). Disclosures with these characteristics help investors contextualize accounting numbers within broader business narratives, facilitating more accurate valuation over extended horizons. Interestingly, the optimal complexity level varies with accounting precision—when numerical information is less precise, investors benefit from somewhat simpler narratives that provide clearer interpretive guidance.

The third major finding concerns network effects in investor interpretation. We observe emergent properties in how perceptions of accounting quality propagate through social networks of investors, creating path dependencies that can either amplify or attenuate the translation of accounting quality into shareholder returns. Early consensus about a firm’s accounting quality—whether positive or negative—tends to become self-reinforcing through social learning mechanisms, sometimes persisting even when subsequent accounting information contradicts the initial assessment.

These network effects generate several noteworthy patterns. First, firms with objectively similar accounting quality experience divergent value outcomes depending on the initial formation of investor consensus. Second, once established, reputations for accounting quality exhibit hysteresis—resisting change even when underlying reporting practices evolve. Third, network structure matters: in densely connected investor networks, consensus forms quickly but may be fragile to contradictory information, while in sparsely connected networks, consensus forms slowly but proves more robust. These findings suggest that the social dimension of accounting quality interpretation represents a crucial but understudied factor in long-term value creation.

Additional analyses reveal several secondary findings of theoretical and practical significance. We document fractal patterns in accounting information flows, with self-similar structures observable across different time scales from quarterly to multi-year horizons. The fractal dimension of accounting information correlates positively with value persistence, suggesting that reporting consistency across temporal scales enhances long-term value relevance. We also identify interaction effects between different dimensions of accounting quality, with coherence (internal consistency between measures) proving particularly important for sustaining value creation during periods of economic uncertainty.

Our results further indicate that the relationship between accounting quality and value creation varies systematically with firm characteristics and market conditions. For firms in rapidly changing industries, timeliness of accounting recognition proves more value-relevant

than precision. During market bubbles, the value relevance of accounting quality diminishes as speculative dynamics dominate fundamental analysis, but high-quality accounting provides greater protection during subsequent corrections. These contingent relationships highlight the importance of contextual factors in determining how accounting quality translates into shareholder value.

4 Conclusion

This research has introduced a novel theoretical and methodological framework for examining the relationship between accounting quality and long-term shareholder value creation. By integrating principles from complex systems theory, computational linguistics, and agent-based modeling, we have moved beyond traditional approaches that treat accounting quality as a static attribute and value creation as a linear outcome. Our findings challenge several conventional assumptions and offer original insights with theoretical, empirical, and practical implications.

Theoretically, our coherence-based framework reconceptualizes accounting quality as a systemic property that establishes alignment between operational realities, financial representations, and market interpretations. This perspective emphasizes the dynamic, interactive nature of financial reporting within complex corporate ecosystems, highlighting how accounting information functions not merely as a reduction of information asymmetry but as a coordination mechanism for heterogeneous market participants. Our findings regarding non-monotonic relationships, narrative interactions, and network effects suggest that accounting quality operates through more nuanced channels than previously recognized, with implications for how we model information economics in financial markets.

Empirically, our simulation-based methodology has generated novel insights difficult to obtain through traditional archival approaches. The inverted U-shaped relationships we document between both accounting precision and value creation, and between narrative com-

plexity and value relevance, suggest optimal points beyond which additional improvements in reporting quality yield diminishing or even negative returns. The emergent properties we observe in investor interpretation networks highlight the social construction of accounting quality and its consequences for market outcomes. These findings open new avenues for empirical research that incorporates non-linear models, interaction effects, and social dynamics in studying financial reporting.

Practically, our research offers guidance for multiple constituencies. For standard-setters, our findings suggest that the pursuit of ever-increasing accounting precision may not always serve long-term investor interests, and that attention to narrative quality and internal coherence may yield greater value relevance. For corporate managers, our results indicate that optimal disclosure strategies balance numerical precision with narrative context, avoiding both oversimplification and unnecessary complexity. For investors, our research highlights the importance of looking beyond surface-level accounting metrics to consider the coherence of overall reporting, the appropriateness of narrative context, and the potential for network effects in quality assessment.

Several limitations of our research suggest directions for future investigation. While our simulation approach offers advantages in examining complex dynamics, it necessarily involves abstraction from real-world details. Future research could incorporate more nuanced representations of accounting standards, regulatory environments, and institutional factors. Additionally, while we have focused on shareholder value creation, accounting quality likely affects other stakeholders differently—future work could examine these differential impacts. Finally, our model assumes rational though bounded agents; incorporating behavioral biases more systematically might yield additional insights.

In conclusion, this research has demonstrated that the relationship between accounting quality and long-term shareholder value creation is more complex, contingent, and interesting than traditional approaches have recognized. By embracing methodological innovation and theoretical cross-fertilization, we have uncovered patterns and mechanisms that enrich our

understanding of how financial reporting shapes market outcomes over extended horizons. As accounting continues to evolve in response to technological change, economic transformation, and stakeholder demands, frameworks that acknowledge the complexity of financial reporting ecosystems will prove increasingly valuable for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers alike.

References

Barth, M. E., Beaver, W. H., & Landsman, W. R. (2001). The relevance of the value relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting: Another view. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 31(1-3), 77-104.

Bushman, R. M., & Smith, A. J. (2001). Financial accounting information and corporate governance. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 32(1-3), 237-333.

Dechow, P. M., & Dichev, I. D. (2002). The quality of accruals and earnings: The role of accrual estimation errors. *The Accounting Review*, 77(s-1), 35-59.

Francis, J., LaFond, R., Olsson, P., & Schipper, K. (2004). Costs of equity and earnings attributes. *The Accounting Review*, 79(4), 967-1010.

Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 31(1-3), 405-440.

Hribar, P., & Collins, D. W. (2002). Errors in estimating accruals: Implications for empirical research. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 40(1), 105-134.

Leuz, C., Nanda, D., & Wysocki, P. D. (2003). Earnings management and investor protection: An international comparison. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 69(3), 505-527.

Schipper, K., & Vincent, L. (2003). Earnings quality. *Accounting Horizons*, 17(s-1), 97-110.

Sloan, R. G. (1996). Do stock prices fully reflect information in accruals and cash flows

about future earnings? *The Accounting Review*, 71(3), 289-315.

Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1986). *Positive accounting theory*. Prentice-Hall.